

| | | | | |
|---|------------------------|--|---------------------|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | | Applicant(s) | |
| | 09/419,817 | | HUANG ET AL. | |
| | Examiner | | Art Unit | |
| | BJ Forman | | 1634 | |

All Participants:

(1) BJ Forman.

(2) Michelle L. Holmes-Son.

Date of Interview: 24 October 2003

Typ of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1 and 23

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.


SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The examiner suggested amendments to Claims 1 and 23 to more clearly define the 5' region of the first primer. Ms. Holmes-Son agreed to the amendment and one-month extension of time required for entry of the amendment.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


BJ FORMAN, PH.D.
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: 8:10 am

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)